The Future of Public Pensions

Litigation Surrounding Modification Initiatives

Jay Sushelsky, Washington, D.C.

The Future of Municipal Pensions

• **Overview of Presentation:**

- Public Pensions: Why Now?
- Constitutional protections for public pensions, and their limits
- Municipal bankruptcy and pension benefits
- What's next? / Lessons learned.

Retirement Security in America

- One half of Americans do not have employer-sponsored retirement plans;
- "The average working household has virtually no retirement savings. Among all households the median retirement account balance is \$3,000 for all working-age households and \$12,000 for near-retirement households."
- "Two-thirds of working households age 55-64 with at least one earner have retirement savings less than one times their annual income";
- Among households with retirement accounts, adequacy of retirement savings varies greatly based on income level, union status, public employee status.

* "The Retirement Savings Crisis: Is It Worse Than We Think?" Nat'l Institute on Retirement Security, June 2013

Key Factors in Underfunding:

- Failure to make actuarially-determined annual required contribution;
- Changed actuarial assumptions to reduce required contributions;

Top factors associated with underfunding/funding:

- Budget Choices
- • State Debt to Gross State Product
 - Percentage of employees covered by Social Security Actuarial
 - PUC Funding Method (backloading);
 - Use of 1983 Mortality Table
- Governance
 - Outside investment counsel
 - Practice of making ARC

Constitutional Protections for Public Pensions

- Nature of the rights of participants
- Modifications/changes to the terms of
- public pensions
- Current and emerging legal landscape for challenges to these changes

• Statutory Pension Rights:

- Many public pensions are created by statute or ordinance
- Right to make changes may also be governed by statute or ordinance

- Contract
 - Collective Bargaining Agreements –
- May trigger additional protections
- "Contracts Clause" "No State shall . . . pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts . . ." US Constitution (Article I, Section 10)
- Many States have similar clauses in their State Constitutions

- Property
- "Takings Clause" "private property" shall not "be taken for public use without just compensation." US Constitution (Fifth Amendment/ Fourteenth Amendment)
- Many States have similar clauses in their State Constitutions

- Due Process
- No State shall "deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law." US Constitution (Fourteenth Amendment)
- Many States have similar clauses in their State Constitutions

Modifications to the Terms of Public Pensions

- Contributions add or increase
- Offer new alternative plans (defined contribution
- and/or cash balance)
- Early retirement windows
- Modify benefit formula
- • Cost Of Living Adjustments (COLAs)
 - Years considered for average compensation
 Percentage of compensation

Current and emerging legal landscape for challenges to these changes

• Two Primary Battles Outside of Bankruptcy:

- When do the benefits or rights vest?
- If vested, when can they be taken away despite the constitutional protections?

When Do These Rights Vest?

- States have four primary approaches: Vesting occurs at first employment
- Vesting occurs as benefits are earned by service
- Vesting occurs at retirement
- Benefits never vest
- See materials for specific State law on this issue

Contracts Clause

- Prohibition on Impairment is not Absolute
 - Must balance the clause with the inherent police power of the State

Three Primary Issues:

- 1. "Substantial Impairment"?
- 2. "Legitimate Public Purpose"?
- 3. "Justified" i.e., "necessary" and "reasonable"?

E.g., Home Bldg. & Loan Ass'n v. Blaisdell, 290 U.S. 398, 428 (1934); Energy Reserves Grp., Inc. v. Kansas Power & Light Co., 459 U.S. 400, 411-12 (1983)

Takings Clause

- Are these rights "property"?
 - E.g., Lynch v. United States, 292 U.S. 571 (1934)

If so, three factors to consider:

- Economic Impact of Claimant
- Interference with Expectations
- Character of the government action

Usually, subsumed by the contract clause .

<u>see</u> *Connolly v. Pension Ben. Guar. Corp.,* 475 U.S. 211, 225 (1986)

Due Process Clause

- Both "procedural" and "substantive"
- Did the government follow its own
- law/procedure in making the change?
- Is there a legitimate public purpose furthered by a rational means?
- Clearly, this is the easiest of the three to meet

Municipal Bankruptcy and Pensions

- Why Chapter 9 is Important
- US Constitution prohibits impairment of contracts by states. Many states treat pensions as contracts.
- Contracts can be impaired in bankruptcy, so vested pension rights potentially can be altered.

Chapter 9 and the 10th Amendment

- The Tenth Amendment
- United States v. Bekins, 304 U.S. 27 (1938)
- § 903 Reservation of State power to control municipalities
- § 904 Limitation on jurisdiction and powers of court

Chapter 9 Eligibility

- Municipality
 - Authorized by State
- Municipality must be *specifically authorized*, in its capacity as a municipality or by name, to be a chapter 9 debtor by State law, or by a governmental officer or organization empowered by State law to authorize such bankruptcy filing.

States that Authorize Municipalities to Use Chapter 9 Bankruptcy:

Specific	Conditional	Limited	Prohibit Filing
Authorization	Authorization	Authorization	
Washington; Idaho; Montana; Arizona; Nebraska; Minnesota; Missouri; Arkansas; South Carolina; Alabama; Oklahoma; Texas	Michigan; New York; Pennsylvania; New Jersey; Ohio; Kentucky; North Carolina; Florida; Louisiana; California	Oregon, Colorado; Illinois	Georgia; Iowa (with a limited exception)

Chapter 9 Eligibility

- Insolvent
 - Desires to effect a plan
 - Satisfies at least 1 of 4 additional conditions
- Majority of creditors by amount in each impaired class have agreed
- Negotiated in good faith and no agreement reached
- Negotiation impracticable
- Municipality reasonable believes that a creditor may attempt to obtain a preference

Pension and Chapter 9

- What is the nature of the pension right? The answer affects bankruptcy outcome.
- Contract
- Constitutional guarantee
- Statutory
- What type of pension?
- Defined benefit plan
- Defined contribution plan

Pension and Chapter 9 (Cont.)

- How does the municipality provide the pension?
- Two party agreement: employer-employee (Central Falls)
- Three party agreement: employer-employeemunicipal public employee pension system (Detroit)
- Three party agreement: employer-employee-state public employee pension system (Stockton)

Pension is a Contract

- If a contract, the contract is likely to be executory.
- No explicit definition of "executory contract" in the Bankruptcy Code.
- Generally includes contracts on which performance remains due to some extent on both sides.
- Executory contracts can be rejected, but whether municipality will do so depends on competitive environment and consequences.

Pension Provisions in State Constitutions and Statutes

- Many state constitutional provisions highlight the contractual nature of municipal pensions. This limits attempts to argue that municipal pensions get special constitutional protection.
- Certain Statutory protections post-confirmation the municipality must operate in conformity with state law.
- To the extent that state statutes specify municipal pension attributes and the pension is retained in the chapter 9 plan, it may not be possible to modify those attributes.

What Happens to Pensions in Chapter 9?

- Restructured and reduced (Detroit and Central Falls)
- Left unimpaired (Vallejo, Stockton)
- Rejected and pension rights treated like all other unsecured claims

What Happens Next?

- After a Chapter 9 plan is drafted and prior to adoption parties retain ability to settle obligations
- Bondholder objections?
- Stakeholder future interactions
- Attempt to hold actuaries accountable for faulty mortality tables?

Lessons Learned?

- Detroit negotiated settlement that modestly reduced accrued pensions, but requires 6.75% investment return to remain solvent
- Bankruptcy judge in Stockton case approved settlement allowing Stockton to reduce contributions to CalPERs, Franklin Templeton filed appeal with 9th Cir. Bankruptcy Court, prolonging the case

Lessons Learned

- Cities of Vallejo, San Bernadino and Stockton were all hesitant to seek pension reductions and have attempted to "make up" any missed CalPERs contributions
- City of Vallejo now allows limited taxpayer input on certain revenue allocation decisions

Questions?